

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 08/884,873 | 06/30/1997 | PHILLIP DAN COOK | ISIS-2202 | 6678 |
| , 759 | 90 03/13/2003 | | | |
| JOHN W. CALDWELL | | | EXAMINER | |
| WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP | | | BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA | |
| ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1639 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No. **08/884,873**

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Maurie G. Baker

Art Unit

Cook



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED _Feb 19, 2003 ___ FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) The period for reply expires ____ b) 💢 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Please see attached. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. 🗆 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. 🗆 The a) affidavit, b) affidavit, b) are exhibit, or c) are request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. 🗆 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an 7. X explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-5,_7-12, and 33 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. 9. 🗆 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). MAURIE G. BAKER 10. Other: PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1639

Serial Number: 08/884,

Art Unit: 1639

ADVISORY ACTION

Attachment

- 1. Applicant's After Final amendment filed February 19, 2003 raises new issues which would require further search and consideration and does not place the case in better form for appeal. Thus the amendment *will not be entered*.
- 2. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the non-entry of the amendment.

 Thus, all rejections are maintained for reasons of record. The non-entry of the amendment is discussed more fully below.
- 3. The instant case is subject to an election of species requirement, which applicant responded to in Paper No. 15, filed February 7, 2000. As stated in previous actions (see, for example, Office Action mailed July 2, 2002 (Paper No. 28), paragraph 3), a search of applicant's *specific* elected species did not result in identification of any prior art. Thus, the search was extended as per MPEP § 803.02 "should no prior art be found that anticipates or renders obvious the elected species, the search of the Markush-type claim will be extended. If prior art is then found that anticipates or renders obvious the Markush-type claim with respect to a nonelected species, the Markush-type claim shall be rejected and claims to the nonelected species held withdrawn from further consideration. The prior art search, however, will not be extended unnecessarily to cover all nonelected species". The examiner extended the search to include all compounds containing the pyrimidine scaffold structure as set forth in claim 33 (compound I). Art

Art Unit: 1639

reading on compound I was found, thus the search was not extended to cover all other nonelected species (e.g. compounds 2 and 3).

4. As also stated in MPEP § 803.02 (emphasis added):

Should applicant, in response to this rejection of the Markush-type claim, overcome the rejection, as by amending the Markush-type claim to exclude the species anticipated or rendered obvious by the prior art, the amended Markush-type claim will be reexamined. The prior art search will be extended to the extent necessary to determine patentability of the Markush-type claim. In the event prior art is found during the reexamination that anticipates or renders obvious the amended Markush-type claim, the claim will be rejected and the action made final. Amendments submitted after the final rejection further restricting the scope of the claim may be denied entry.

- 5. Thus, as the proposed amendment is to delete the previously examined species, the entry of such would require *further search* as to the non-elected species. This is improper After Final and the amendment will not be entered.
- 6. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph were considered but not found fully persuasive. At the least, the term "keto" remains unclear. As stated in the rejection, denoting the group as "keto" (for example) does not fully define what ketone is being referred to.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 to 7:00 and alternate Fridays.

Serial Number: 08/884

Art Unit: 1639

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. March 11, 2003

MAURIE GARCIA BAKER, Ph.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER